

**From:** [Aircraft Noise 3 Villages](#)  
**To:** ["moira.gibson@surreyheath.gov.uk"](mailto:moira.gibson@surreyheath.gov.uk)  
**Cc:** ["michael.gove.mp@parliament.uk"](mailto:michael.gove.mp@parliament.uk); ["Mike Goodman CLR"](#); ["conrad.sturt@surreyheath.gov.uk"](mailto:conrad.sturt@surreyheath.gov.uk); ["rebecca.jennings-evans@surreyheath.gov.uk"](mailto:rebecca.jennings-evans@surreyheath.gov.uk)  
**Bcc:** ["james.chapple@trinitymirror.com"](mailto:james.chapple@trinitymirror.com)  
**Subject:** Aircraft Noise  
**Date:** 19 February 2017 17:15:41

---

Dear Cllr Gibson

In 2015 we met at your offices to discuss the situation regarding increased aircraft noise issues in the Surrey Heath area since the trials of 2014.

At that time, you were brought up to date with the severe issues of noise facing many residents as well as the lack of progress with the Heathrow Community Noise Forum. The value of the HCNF continues to be called into question by a large number of communities which attend.

The data from the gate analysis was, at that time, awaiting publication and you confirmed that, if the data were to prove changes, then SHBC may be able to assist in providing a small fund to investigate further.

The data analysis confirmed changes in three main areas : lowering of heights of aircraft, an increase in number of aircraft and concentration of aircraft.

To date, you and your team have never had the basic courtesy to respond to any email requesting the Council's view on the changes irrefutably evidenced by the Gate Analysis report, the summary of which was agreed with HAL to be a fair reflection of the findings. Do you all disagree with HAL's findings? If so, on what basis? Or perhaps you simply have your heads in the sand in the hope that those complaining will simply give up the ghost? That will not happen.

Where then is the representation from SHBC and SCC to protect the rights of, and engage with affected residents? It is your collective responsibility to ensure ALL residents' views are dealt with equally and impartially, regardless of personal opinions.

In terms of the HCNF, there must be some interest on the part of SHBC as it regularly fields not one, but two representatives to attend the HCNF, albeit they make no contribution. So perhaps you can clarify what that interest is, how and to whom they report the meeting and what is done as a result of that information? If, as I suspect, the answer is nothing (otherwise we surely would have been notified of actions the council is taking as a result), then I suggest sending two taxpayer funded employees is a waste of our money.

We look forward to hearing from you with responses to the above questions.

Regards  
Rosalie James